

## **REPLY TO CLAIM OBJECTION**

1        There was compliance problem in claims attached to my response filed on January 4, 2008. But, as shown changed part by red ink, claims were amended version of original claims. This time claims 1-10 filed January 4, 2008 were all canceled. And new claims are listed with status identifiers: (new).

2        I tried to make claims follow grammar rules.

## **REPLY TO CLAIM REJECTION – 35 USC 101**

1.        I learned how to write claims from US-patented applications, especially software-related applications (example USP 7,129,407). As most promising form of merchant is computer software, many new claims are written as “storing device storing computer program”. And as feature description, form of “the program including instructions for ~” is used.

## **REPLY TO CLAIM REJECTION – 35 USC 112**

2.        New claims are claimed as device containing special contents.
3.        The word “enough” has been removed, and replaced by clear limitation.

## **REPLY TO CLAIM REJECTION – 35 USC 103**

As you can see, even new claims take different forms and clearer wordings, they claim almost the same matters as former claims. So, I try to explain the difference between claimed matter and reference, point by point.